



MARXISM NOW ERIK OLIN WRIGHT

Rufus, Anthony PhD

Department of Political Science, Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Bayelsa State

E-mail: rufusanthony50@gmail.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17107200>

Key words: Marxism, Class Theory, Real Utopias, Capitalism, Erik Olin Wright	Abstract: <i>This article explores the enduring relevance of Marxist class analysis through the lens of Erik Olin Wright’s work, with a particular focus on his contributions to analytical Marxism, empirical methodology, and the theory of “real utopias.” Moving beyond traditional dichotomies of bourgeoisie and proletariat, Wright developed a nuanced framework that incorporates contradictory class locations, authority structures, and democratic empowerment. His integration of survey data, statistical modeling, and cross-national comparisons marked a significant methodological shift, bringing scientific rigor to Marxist theory. Central to his vision was the idea that capitalism can be transformed not solely through revolutionary upheaval, but through the strategic expansion of democratic, egalitarian institutions within its interstices. These “real utopias”—such as participatory budgeting and worker cooperatives—offer both a critique of capitalism and a roadmap for viable alternatives. The article also addresses key debates surrounding Wright’s “revisionism,” engaging critiques from orthodox Marxists and liberal theorists alike. In light of global challenges such as the gig economy, wealth inequality, climate crisis, and the rise of socialism in the Global South, Wright’s framework proves strikingly applicable. This study affirms Wright’s legacy as a theorist who revitalized Marxism for the contemporary era, advocating for a pragmatic, data-driven, and institutionally grounded path toward social justice.</i>
---	---

1. Introduction

Marxism stands as one of the most influential intellectual and political traditions in modern history. Marxism originated from the works of Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels in the 19th century (McLellan & Chamber, 2025), it

provided a framework for understanding social change through the lens of class struggle, materialist history, and the critique of capitalist economies. Central to Marxist thought is the proposition that economic systems evolve through dialectical contradictions—chiefly, the

Rufus, Anthony PhD

Irish International Journal of Law, Political Sciences and Administration

I. Int. J. L. Pol. Sci. Admin.

Volume: 9; Issue: 04,

July-August, 2025

ISSN: 2146– 3283

Impact Factor: 7.11

Advance Scholars Publication

Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development

<https://aspjournals.org/Journals/index.php/ijlpsa/index>



antagonism between the proletariat and the bourgeoisie—which eventually lead to revolutionary transformations (Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2025). This perspective challenges idealist interpretations of history, emphasizing the role of class struggle and economic relations in shaping human societies (McLellan & Chamber, 2025).

Marxism shaped global revolutions, informed state ideologies, and inspired critical scholarship across disciplines, but by the end of the 20th century, its influence had become fragmented, especially following the collapse of the Soviet Union and the perceived failure of state socialism. It has significantly influenced various disciplines, including sociology, political science, and economics. Its analytical tools have been instrumental in critiquing capitalist societies and envisioning alternatives that aim for social equity and justice. Marxism has served as both a theoretical framework and a practical guide for political movements worldwide, advocating for the emancipation of the working class and the establishment of a classless society (Williams, 2018).

Despite predictions of its demise, the 21st century has witnessed a renewed interest in Marxist analysis, which was driven by the growing economic inequality, political instability, and ecological crisis. The 2008 global financial meltdown (Loo, 2025), the rise of precarious labor, characterized by unstable

contracts, low wages, and limited benefits (ICF and Radar Europe, 2018) and the return of populist politics (Tabellini, 2019) have exposed the enduring relevance of Marx's core critiques of capitalism. As noted by the International Sociological Association, Marxism continues to offer valuable insights into the dynamics of capitalism and serves as an ideological foundation for movements seeking systemic change (Williams, 2018). Scholars, activists, and institutions are once again grappling with the deep structural dynamics of capitalism, seeking tools that can not only diagnose present crises but also imagine alternatives. In this climate, Marxism is being reinterpreted not as a rigid doctrine but as a dynamic theoretical lens, capable of evolving in response to contemporary realities.

In the contemporary era that is characterized by globalization, technological advancements, and persistent social inequalities, the relevance of Marxist analysis has remained pronounced. The resurgence of interest in Marxist thought can be attributed to its robust critique of capitalist structures and its emphasis on the systemic nature of exploitation and alienation. Issues such as income disparity, labor precarity, and environmental degradation underscore the enduring applicability of Marxist concepts (Thompson, 2021).

Erik Olin Wright (1947–2019) emerged as a pivotal figure in contemporary Marxist

Rufus, Anthony PhD

Irish International Journal of Law, Political Sciences and Administration

I. Int. J. L. Pol. Sci. Admin.

Volume: 9; Issue: 04,

July-August, 2025

ISSN: 2146– 3283

Impact Factor: 7.11

Advance Scholars Publication

Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development

<https://aspjournals.org/Journals/index.php/ijlpsa/index>



scholarship. As a sociologist at the University of Wisconsin–Madison, Wright specialized in social stratification and the exploration of egalitarian alternatives to capitalism. He is renowned for his contributions to analytical Marxism, particularly his efforts to refine class analysis and develop the concept of "real utopias"—practical, achievable models for a more equitable society (Wright, 2010). Wright's work sought to bridge the gap between theoretical rigor and practical application. He emphasized the importance of empirical research in understanding class structures and advocated for the design of institutions that promote democratic participation and social justice. His approach to Marxism was characterized by a commitment to both critical analysis and transformative action (Burawoy & Wright, 2000).

This paper examines Erik Olin Wright's contributions to the revitalization of Marxist thought in the 21st century. Through analyzing his analytical tools, class theory, and the concept of real utopias, the study aims to elucidate how Wright's work offers a nuanced and practical framework for understanding and transforming contemporary capitalist societies. Through a critical engagement with Wright's scholarship, the paper seeks to highlight the enduring relevance of Marxist analysis in addressing the challenges of modern social structures.

2. Historical Context: Classical Marxism

Marxism emerged in the mid-19th century as a powerful critique of capitalism and a theory for revolutionary social change. Developed by Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, Classical Marxism is grounded in the concepts of historical materialism and class struggle—two pillars that underpin the analysis of capitalist societies. According to Marx and Engels (1848), "The history of all hitherto existing society is the history of class struggles" (Marx & Engels, 1848). This foundational principle reflects how social orders are shaped by conflicts between classes defined by their relationship to the means of production.

Historical materialism holds that the material and economic base of society determines its political and ideological superstructure (Marx, 1867). In other words, the evolution of human societies can be traced through transformations in the modes of production—from feudalism to capitalism—driven by contradictions within those systems. This dynamic leads to the emergence of revolutionary classes which ultimately overthrow the existing order (Callinicos, 2014).

The critique of capitalism is central to Marx's theory. In *Capital: Critique of Political Economy* (1867), Marx argued that capitalism commodifies human labor, reducing workers to mere instruments for profit-making. He introduced the idea of commodity fetishism,

Rufus, Anthony PhD

Irish International Journal of Law, Political Sciences and Administration

I. Int. J. L. Pol. Sci. Admin.

Volume: 9; Issue: 04,

July-August, 2025

ISSN: 2146– 3283

Impact Factor: 7.11

Advance Scholars Publication

Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development

<https://aspjournals.org/Journals/index.php/iijlpsa/index>



where social relations are hidden behind economic exchanges, and laborers become alienated from the products of their work (Marx, 1867). This alienation is not just economic but also psychological and existential, deeply affecting human identity and creativity (Harvey, 2010). Marx predicted that capitalism, by concentrating wealth in the hands of a few and increasing the misery of the working class, would eventually collapse under the weight of its contradictions. However, while elements of his prognosis remain valid, the social and economic transformations of the 20th and 21st centuries have raised questions about the sufficiency of classical Marxism in explaining contemporary capitalist realities. The expansion of the middle class, the rise of the service economy, and global economic integration have complicated the traditional class dichotomy between bourgeoisie and proletariat (Wright, 2005).

These historical developments necessitate a re-examination of Marxist theory. Scholars like David Harvey (2010) argue that while the core insights of Marx remain powerful, they must be updated to address the complexities of neoliberal capitalism, finance capital, and digital labor. Similarly, Erik Olin Wright (2010) has emphasized the need for “real utopias”—concrete institutional alternatives within capitalism that can lead to transformational change. This points to a broader movement within contemporary Marxism to retain the analytic tools of classical

theory while adapting them to new social realities. Classical Marxism provides an indispensable framework for understanding the evolution of modern capitalism. Yet, its limitations in addressing new global dynamics underline the importance of theoretical renewal, a task that contemporary thinkers like Erik Olin Wright have taken up with analytical rigor and imaginative scope.

3. The Emergence of Analytical Marxism

Analytical Marxism emerged in the late 1970s, specifically in 1978 as a movement within Marxist theory that sought to apply the rigorous methods of analytical philosophy and social science to Marxist concepts (Cohen, 1978). G. A. Cohen's seminal work, *Karl Marx's Theory of History: A Defence* (1978), is widely regarded as the foundational text of Analytical Marxism. In this book, Cohen employed the methods of analytical philosophy to reinterpret Marx's historical materialism, emphasizing a form of technological determinism where productive forces drive historical change (Cohen, 1978). This approach emphasizes logical clarity, empirical grounding, and conceptual precision, distinguishing itself from traditional Marxist thought by rejecting dialectical reasoning and embracing methodological individualism and rational choice theory. The movement is associated with the "September Group," which included scholars like G. A. , Jon Elster, John

Rufus, Anthony PhD

Irish International Journal of Law, Political Sciences and Administration

I. Int. J. L. Pol. Sci. Admin.

Volume: 9; Issue: 04,

July-August, 2025

ISSN: 2146– 3283

Impact Factor: 7.11

Advance Scholars Publication

Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development

<https://aspjournals.org/Journals/index.php/ijlpsa/index>



Roemer, and Erik Olin Wright (ProfilBaru, 2025.).

John Roemer contributed significantly to Analytical Marxism by integrating neoclassical economics and game theory into Marxist analysis. In *A General Theory of Exploitation and Class* (1982), Roemer redefines exploitation in terms of unequal ownership of productive assets rather than labor value, suggesting that exploitation arises from market exchanges rather than production processes. This approach allows for a more precise and mathematically grounded analysis of class structures (The Editors of Encyclopaedia Britannica, 2023). John Roemer introduced mathematical modeling and game theory into Marxist analysis. His work redefined exploitation in terms of unequal ownership of productive assets, shifting the focus from labor relations to property relations (Vrousalis, 2016). Jon Elster's *Making Sense of Marx* (1985) represents a critical examination of Marxist theory through the lens of rational choice theory and methodological individualism. Jon Elster emphasized methodological individualism and rational choice theory in his analysis of Marxist concepts. He critiqued functionalist explanations in Marxism and advocated for explanations based on individual actions and choices (The Editors of Encyclopaedia Britannica, 2023). He challenges the coherence of Marx's theories when stripped of dialectical reasoning, pushing for a more scientifically robust foundation.

Wright's work exemplifies the Analytical Marxist commitment to empirical research and theoretical clarity. He sought to operationalize Marxist concepts, such as class, in ways that could be empirically tested and applied to real-world data. His approach allowed for a more nuanced understanding of class structures in modern capitalist societies, integrating insights from both Marxist theory and empirical sociology. In his later work, Wright introduced the concept of "real utopias," envisioning institutional alternatives that could lead to a more just and equitable society. This concept reflects the Analytical Marxist emphasis on combining normative theory with empirical research to explore feasible paths for social transformation.

4. Erik Olin Wright's Core Contributions **a. Class Analysis and Contradictory Class Locations**

Traditional Marxist theory framed class in binary terms—dividing society into two antagonistic groups: the bourgeoisie, who own the means of production, and the proletariat, who sell their labor power in exchange for wages (Marx & Engels, 1848). However, Erik Olin Wright recognized that this binary view failed to capture the complexities of modern capitalist societies, where numerous occupational roles do not align neatly with either of these categories. To address this theoretical gap, Wright introduced the concept of Contradictory Class Locations (CCLs),

Rufus, Anthony PhD

Irish International Journal of Law, Political Sciences and Administration

I. Int. J. L. Pol. Sci. Admin.

Volume: 9; Issue: 04,

July-August, 2025

ISSN: 2146– 3283

Impact Factor: 7.11

Advance Scholars Publication

Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development

<https://aspjournals.org/Journals/index.php/ijlpsa/index>



a framework that identifies social positions which simultaneously embody characteristics of different class locations (Wright, 1985).

Contradictory class locations acknowledge that class is not a rigid structure but a nuanced set of relations shaped by control over economic resources, authority in the workplace, and possession of skills or expertise. For instance, managers and supervisors wield authority over workers (a characteristic of the capitalist class) but do not own the means of production themselves, aligning them partially with the working class. Similarly, small employers and semi-autonomous professionals like engineers or architects often blur traditional class lines. They may own or control some production tools or have a degree of autonomy in their labor, yet they are not capitalists in the classical sense (*A Dictionary of Sociology*, 2025; Wright, 1985).

Wright's model expands on Marx's original framework by illustrating the spectrum of class positions in advanced capitalist societies, rather than a simple binary. This approach allows for a more accurate understanding of political behavior, class consciousness, and stratification. He theorized that contradictory positions, such as those of middle managers or technical experts, could develop fragmented class identities, sometimes aligning with capitalist interests and at other times supporting proletarian demands (Wright, 1985; Wright, 1976). This analytical framework continues to be crucial in examining

the dynamics of class power, especially as neoliberal capitalism has further diversified employment categories and occupational roles (Hathaway, 2020).

b. The Real Utopian Project

Wright's theoretical sophistication was matched by his commitment to exploring practical alternatives to capitalism. His Real Utopias Project emerged from a central question: how can egalitarian and democratic alternatives to capitalism be envisioned and, more importantly, implemented in the real world? Unlike abstract or idealistic utopian models, Wright emphasized that real utopias must be grounded in empirical reality—"utopian" in their aspirations, but "real" in their feasibility and functionality (Wright, 2010). The goal of the project was not only to critique capitalism but to identify and promote institutional arrangements that embody principles of equality, democracy, and social empowerment. Key examples of real utopias include participatory budgeting, worker cooperatives, and universal basic income pilots. Participatory budgeting, notably implemented in Porto Alegre, Brazil, allows ordinary citizens to directly decide on portions of municipal budgets. This practice has enhanced democratic participation and transparency while giving marginalized communities a voice in economic decisions (Wright, 2010; Wright, 2016). Another widely studied case is the Mondragon worker cooperatives in Spain, where enterprises are

Rufus, Anthony PhD

Irish International Journal of Law, Political Sciences and Administration

I. Int. J. L. Pol. Sci. Admin.

Volume: 9; Issue: 04,

July-August, 2025

ISSN: 2146– 3283

Impact Factor: 7.11

Advance Scholars Publication

Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development

<https://aspjournals.org/Journals/index.php/ijlpsa/index>



collectively owned and governed by their workers. These cooperatives have demonstrated economic viability while embedding egalitarian values into workplace governance (Wright, 2017). Wright also analyzed non-traditional real utopias such as Wikipedia, a decentralized, collaborative, and non-market model of knowledge production. It represents an alternative to capitalist publishing and illustrates how social value can be created outside profit motives (Wright, 2016). Similarly, Wright referenced pilot programs for unconditional basic income, such as the experiment in Namibia, to explore whether social welfare mechanisms could liberate individuals from the compulsion of wage labor and reduce economic inequality (Wright, 2016). In evaluating these real utopias, Wright employed three main criteria: the extent to which they enhance social empowerment, promote equality, and sustain democratic practices. He did not romanticize these alternatives but carefully analyzed their contradictions and limitations. The Real Utopias Project thus occupies a unique place in Marxist thought, not only critiquing capitalism but actively searching for democratic and emancipatory structures within and beyond it. It serves as both a political and academic agenda, demonstrating that radical change is possible without resorting to abstract idealism.

c. Democracy, Capitalism, and Institutional Innovation

Erik Olin Wright's strategy for transforming capitalism was grounded in a vision of gradual, democratic institutional change rather than revolutionary overthrow. He proposed that real, sustainable transformation could occur through what he called "interstitial transformation"—the building of democratic, egalitarian institutions within the cracks and margins of capitalist societies (Wright, 2010).

At the core of this project was "social empowerment", which Wright defined as the degree to which people can exert collective democratic control over the social structures that affect their lives. For Wright, institutions such as worker cooperatives, participatory budgeting, community land trusts, and solidarity economies represent viable, real-world alternatives to capitalist systems. These institutions not only reflect democratic values but also operate successfully within existing capitalist frameworks, offering spaces where people can experience and build new forms of power (Wright, 2010; Wright, 2016).

Wright argued that instead of romanticizing abstract utopias, "real utopias" are those that already exist in some form, are feasible, and can be expanded through institutional experimentation. For example, participatory budgeting, first widely implemented in Porto Alegre, Brazil, enables citizens to allocate

Rufus, Anthony PhD

Irish International Journal of Law, Political Sciences and Administration

I. Int. J. L. Pol. Sci. Admin.

Volume: 9; Issue: 04,

July-August, 2025

ISSN: 2146– 3283

Impact Factor: 7.11

Advance Scholars Publication

Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development

<https://aspjournals.org/Journals/index.php/ijlpsa/index>



municipal funds directly, increasing transparency and democratic participation (Wright 2016.). Similarly, worker cooperatives like the Mondragon Corporation in Spain serve as functional models of workplace democracy and shared ownership while remaining economically viable (Reuten, 2021). These “real utopias” demonstrate that democratic institutional alternatives to capitalism are not only desirable but also practically implementable. Wright’s framework positioned democracy not just as a political ideal but as a transformational mechanism, capable of reshaping the economy through strategic, institution-level innovation. His ideas offered a roadmap for activists and reformers to move beyond critique, focusing instead on building empirically grounded, scalable alternatives (Wright, 2010, Wright, 2017).

d. Use of Empirical Methods in Marxist Analysis

One of Wright’s most significant innovations in Marxist theory was his incorporation of empirical sociological methods. Unlike Karl Marx, who grounded his class theory in historical materialism and philosophical analysis, Wright turned to quantitative research, surveys, and modeling techniques to examine how class structures operated in real-life capitalist societies (Wright, 1997). Wright’s approach was particularly evident in his landmark study *Class Counts: Comparative Studies in Class Analysis*,

where he used cross-national survey data to map occupational roles, authority levels, ownership status, and educational capital. These variables were analyzed to determine individuals’ class positions, allowing Wright to identify “contradictory class locations”—such as supervisors, managers, and semi-autonomous professionals—who do not fit neatly into the binary of proletariat and bourgeoisie (Wright, 1997).

This empirical method offered a data-driven understanding of class that revealed internal tensions and ambiguities within capitalism. For example, Wright found that people in contradictory class locations often experienced fragmented class identities—simultaneously aligned with the interests of capital (due to supervisory roles) and labor (due to lack of ownership). These insights were grounded in statistical models and sociological classification systems, not speculative philosophy (Wright, 2015). Through blending empirical sociology with Marxist theory, Wright helped to move Marxism away from grand historical narratives and into the realm of scientific, verifiable, and policy-relevant analysis. His work bridged the gap between theory and data, establishing a more rigorous and nuanced framework for understanding modern capitalism (Wright, 1997; Wright, 2017).

Rufus, Anthony PhD

Irish International Journal of Law, Political Sciences and Administration

I. Int. J. L. Pol. Sci. Admin.

Volume: 9; Issue: 04,

July-August, 2025

ISSN: 2146– 3283

Impact Factor: 7.11

Advance Scholars Publication

Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development

<https://aspjournals.org/Journals/index.php/ijlpsa/index>



5. Critiques and Debates

Erik Olin Wright's theoretical contributions, particularly his concepts of "real utopias" and "interstitial transformation," have sparked significant debate within both Marxist and liberal circles. While his work has been lauded for its innovative approach to envisioning alternatives to capitalism, it has also faced criticism for its perceived revisionism and practicality.

Orthodox Marxists have expressed concerns that Wright's emphasis on gradual, institutional change dilutes the revolutionary essence of Marxist theory (Burawoy, 2008; Wright, 2010). Louis Proyect, a prominent Marxist critic, argues that Wright's focus on feasible alternatives, such as participatory budgeting and worker cooperatives, amounts to a form of "sewer socialism" that fails to challenge the capitalist system fundamentally. Proyect contends that Wright's reliance on "ideal-types" and empirical models overlooks the dynamic and often unpredictable nature of revolutionary politics, potentially leading to reformist rather than transformative outcomes (Proyect, 2007). Michael A. Lebowitz critiques Wright's departure from Marx's dialectical method, suggesting that Wright's framework lacks a robust theory of capitalist dynamics and class struggle. Lebowitz emphasizes the importance of understanding socialism not merely as a set of institutional arrangements but as a process driven by the self-

emancipation of the working class (Lebowitz, 2010).

From a liberal perspective, Wright's proposals have been scrutinized for their feasibility and scalability. Diane Coyle, an economist and commentator, questions whether examples like the Mondragon Corporation and participatory budgeting in Porto Alegre can be replicated on a larger scale or in different socio-economic contexts. She argues that while these initiatives are commendable, they may not constitute a comprehensive alternative to capitalism and could be limited in their transformative potential (Quiggin, 2013). Critics point out that Wright's real utopias often operate within the existing capitalist framework, raising concerns about their ability to effect systemic change. The reliance on state support or market mechanisms in some of these models may inadvertently reinforce the structures they aim to transform.

David Harvey, a renowned Marxist geographer, acknowledges the value of exploring alternative institutions but cautions against underestimating the entrenched power of capitalist structures. In his work "Spaces of Hope," Harvey emphasizes the need for a dialectical approach that considers both the possibilities and limitations of utopian thinking within the context of global capitalism (Harvey, 2000). Nancy Fraser, a critical theorist, contributes to the debate by highlighting the importance of addressing issues of recognition

Rufus, Anthony PhD

Irish International Journal of Law, Political Sciences and Administration

I. Int. J. L. Pol. Sci. Admin.

Volume: 9; Issue: 04,

July-August, 2025

ISSN: 2146– 3283

Impact Factor: 7.11

Advance Scholars Publication

Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development

<https://aspjournals.org/Journals/index.php/ijlpsa/index>



and redistribution simultaneously. She suggests that while real utopias offer innovative solutions, they must also confront the cultural and social dimensions of inequality to achieve genuine emancipation (Ferrarese, 2015)..

6. Marxism Now: Contemporary Relevance

Erik Olin Wright's framework of "real utopias" offers a compelling lens through which to examine and address contemporary global challenges. His emphasis on interstitial transformation and social empowerment provides a roadmap for envisioning and implementing democratic, egalitarian alternatives within the existing capitalist framework.

The rise of the gig economy has led to increased labor precarity, characterized by unstable employment, lack of benefits, and diminished worker protections. Wright's concept of social empowerment is particularly relevant here, as it advocates for the creation of institutions that enable workers to exert greater control over their labor conditions. Worker cooperatives and platform cooperativism, for instance, represent real-world applications of Wright's ideas, offering models where workers collectively own and manage digital platforms, thereby challenging traditional capitalist labor relations. The widening gap between the wealthy and the poor underscores the limitations of neoliberal capitalism. Wright's framework suggests that

addressing wealth inequality requires the establishment of institutions that promote equitable distribution of resources and democratic participation in economic decision-making. Participatory budgeting and community land trusts are examples of initiatives that embody these principles, allowing communities to have a direct say in how resources are allocated and managed.

The climate crisis poses an existential threat that necessitates a reevaluation of growth-centric economic models. Wright's interstitial strategy aligns with the principles of the degrowth movement, which advocates for the downscaling of production and consumption to achieve ecological sustainability and social equity. In promoting alternative institutions such as local food systems, renewable energy cooperatives, and sustainable transportation networks, societies can transition towards models that prioritize environmental stewardship and collective well-being.

In the Global South, movements inspired by Wright's ideas are gaining momentum. In Latin America, for example, participatory governance models have been implemented in various municipalities, reflecting Wright's vision of democratic institutional innovation. Similarly, in parts of Africa and Asia, grassroots movements are advocating for land reforms, cooperative enterprises, and community-based resource

Rufus, Anthony PhD

Irish International Journal of Law, Political Sciences and Administration

I. Int. J. L. Pol. Sci. Admin.

Volume: 9; Issue: 04,

July-August, 2025

ISSN: 2146– 3283

Impact Factor: 7.11

Advance Scholars Publication

Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development

<https://aspjournals.org/Journals/index.php/ijlpsa/index>



management, all of which resonate with the principles of real utopias.

Wright's influence extends to contemporary thinkers and political movements. In Spain, the municipalist movement has embraced his ideas, promoting local governance structures that emphasize citizen participation and social justice. In the United States, the resurgence of democratic socialism, as seen in the platforms of politicians like Bernie Sanders and organizations like the Democratic Socialists of America, reflects a growing interest in alternatives to neoliberal capitalism that align with Wright's vision of social empowerment and institutional innovation.

7. Conclusion

Erik Olin Wright stands as a pivotal figure in the evolution of Marxist theory, one who reimagined the tradition not as an ossified relic of the past, but as a living, adaptable framework for confronting the contradictions of contemporary capitalism. This article has traced Wright's intellectual trajectory—from his grounding in classical Marxist thought to his innovative contributions in analytical Marxism, class typologies, institutional experimentation, and empirical methodology. In doing so, it has illuminated how Wright successfully bridged the gap between critical theory and actionable strategy.

At the heart of Wright's work was a bold reconceptualization of power and class—

anchored not merely in ownership or exploitation, but in the structures of social empowerment and democratic capacity. His idea of “real utopias” moved beyond abstract theorizing, proposing concrete and scalable institutions such as participatory budgeting, worker cooperatives, and solidarity economies. Through this lens, Wright shifted the Marxist project from one of inevitability and revolution to one of possibility and transformation, emphasizing the role of gradual, democratic innovation within and against the capitalist system.

This reframing has profound implications for how we understand—and challenge—capitalism today. In an era marked by precarious labor, deepening inequality, ecological collapse, and political fragmentation, Wright's framework offers a toolkit for imagining and enacting systemic alternatives. Yet, as critiques from both the orthodox Marxist left and liberal pragmatists have shown, the vision of real utopias remains fraught with tension—caught between the ideals of democracy and the constraints of market logic. Nonetheless, Wright's legacy is not one of naïve optimism, but of strategic hope. He urged scholars and activists alike to remain critically engaged, to test ideas against reality, and to always ask: what works, what empowers, and what builds a freer world? His work reminds us that Marxism, at its best, is not simply a theory

Rufus, Anthony PhD

Irish International Journal of Law, Political Sciences and Administration

I. Int. J. L. Pol. Sci. Admin.

Volume: 9; Issue: 04,

July-August, 2025

ISSN: 2146– 3283

Impact Factor: 7.11

Advance Scholars Publication

Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development

<https://aspjournals.org/Journals/index.php/ijlpsa/index>



of what has gone wrong, but a map of what could go right—if we are willing to struggle for it.

Wright redefined Marxist analysis for the 21st century—grounding it in data, deepening it with theory, and reanimating it with democratic imagination. For those committed to social

References

A Dictionary of Sociology. (2025.). *Contradictory class location*. Encyclopedia.com.

Retrieved March 26, 2025, from <https://www.encyclopedia.com/social-sciences/dictionaries-thesauruses-pictures-and-press-releases/contradictory-class-location>

Burawoy, M. (2008). Marxism after communism: Beyond revolutionary Marxism. In Go, J.

(Ed.), *Political Power and Social Theory* (Vol. 19, pp. 125–144). Emerald Group Publishing.

Cohen, G. A. (1978). *Karl Marx's theory of history: A defence*. Clarendon Press.

<https://archive.org/details/karlmarxstheory0000cohe>

Callinicos, A. (2014). *Deciphering Capital: Marx's Capital and its destiny*. Bookmarks Publications.

justice, his contributions remain not only relevant but essential. The future of Marxism lies in its ability to remain adaptive, rigorous, and visionary—and in that, Wright's thought continues to blaze the path forward.

https://students.aiu.edu/submissions/profiles/resources/onlineBook/h2f4N2_Deciphering_Capital.pdf

Ferrarese, E. (2015, September 14). *Nancy Fraser and the theory of participatory parity* (N. Ferron, Trans.). *La Vie des Idées*. <https://laviedesidees.fr/Nancy-Fraser-and-the-Theory-of-Participatory-Parity>

Harvey, D. (2010). *A companion to Marx's Capital*. Verso. <https://files.libcom.org/files/David%20Harvey%20-%20Companion%20to%20Marx's%20Capital.pdf>

Hathaway, T. (2020). Neoliberalism as corporate power. *Competition & Change*, 24(3–4), 315–337. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1024529420910382>

Loo, A. (2025). *2008–2009 Global Financial Crisis: The Great Recession*. Corporate Finance Institute.

Rufus, Anthony PhD

Irish International Journal of Law, Political Sciences and Administration

I. Int. J. L. Pol. Sci. Admin.

Volume: 9; Issue: 04,

July-August, 2025

ISSN: 2146– 3283

Impact Factor: 7.11

Advance Scholars Publication

Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development

<https://aspjournals.org/Journals/index.php/ijlpsa/index>



<https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/economics/2008-2009-global-financial-crisis/>

Lebowitz, M. A. (2010). *The socialist alternative: Real human development*. Monthly Review Press. https://monthlyreview.org/product/socialist_alternative/

Marx, K. (1867). *Capital: A critique of political economy. Volume I: The process of production of capital* (S. Moore & E. Aveling, Trans.; F. Engels, Ed.). Progress Publishers. <https://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/download/pdf/Capital-Volume-I.pdf>

Marx, K., & Engels, F. (1848). *Manifesto of the Communist Party* (S. Moore, Trans.).

Marxists Internet Archive. <https://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/download/pdf/Manifesto.pdf>

McLellan, D., & Chamber, H. (2025, April). *Marxism*. *Encyclopædia Britannica*. <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Marxism>

Quiggin, J. (2013, March 22). *On Erik Olin Wright's Envisioning Real Utopias –*

Diane Coyle. Crooked Timber. <https://crookedtimber.org/2013/03/22/on-erik-olin-wrights-envisioning-real-utopias-diane-coyle/>

Reuten, G. (2021). *The employment performance of the Mondragon worker cooperatives 1983–2019*. SSRN Electronic Journal. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3992304>

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. (2025, March 27). *Karl Marx*. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/marx/>

Tabellini, G. (2019, October 29). *The rise of populism*. *VoxEU.org*. <https://cepr.org/voxeu/columns/rise-populism>

Thompson, K. (2021, August 27). *Is Marxism still relevant today?* *ReviseSociology*. <https://revisesociology.com/2015/11/24/why-marxism-is-still-relevant-today/>

The Editors of Encyclopædia Britannica (2023, December 7). *Analytical Marxism*.

Encyclopædia Britannica. <https://www.britannica.com/topic/analytical-Marxism>

Rufus, Anthony PhD

Irish International Journal of Law, Political Sciences and Administration

I. Int. J. L. Pol. Sci. Admin.

Volume: 9; Issue: 04,

July-August, 2025

ISSN: 2146– 3283

Impact Factor: 7.11

Advance Scholars Publication

Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development

<https://aspjournals.org/Journals/index.php/ijlpsa/index>



Wright, E.O. (2017). Real Utopias. In: Beauchesne, K., Santos, A. (eds) *Performing Utopias in the Contemporary Americas*. Palgrave Macmillan, New York. https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-56873-1_9

Wright, E. O. (2016). *Envisioning real utopias*. P2P Foundation Wiki. https://wiki.p2pfoundation.net/Envisioning_Real_Utopias

Wright, Erik Olin (2010). *Envisioning Real Utopias*. London, New York: Verso. p. 321. ISBN 978-1-84467-618-7. <https://www.aacademica.org/erik.olin.wright/46.pdf>

Wright, E. O. (Ed.). (2005). *Approaches to class analysis*. Cambridge University Press. <https://www.aacademica.org/erik.olin.wright/47.pdf>

Williams, M. (2018, March 22). Marx in the twenty-first century. *Global Dialogue*. <https://globaldialogue.isa-sociology.org/articles/marx-in-the-twenty-first-century>

Wright, E. O. (1985). *Classes*. London: Verso. <https://www.aacademica.org/erik.olin.wright/54.pdf>

Wright, E. O. (2024). Class boundaries in advanced capitalist societies. *New Left Review*, (98). <https://newleftreview.org/issues/i98/articles/erik-olin-wright-class-boundaries-in-advanced-capitalist-societies>

Vrousalis, N. (2016, November 22). Analytical Marxism. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Politics. Retrieved 24 Apr. 2025, from <https://oxfordre.com/politics/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228637.001.0001/acrefore-9780190228637-e-199>.

Rufus, Anthony PhD